Case 4:18-cr-00373-JST Document 361 Filed 03/03/22 Page 1 of 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

United States of America,) Case No. <u>4:18-cr-00373-JST</u>
Plaintiff, v.) STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME) UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
Jaime Cortes,)
Defendant(s).)
Trial Act from $\frac{3/1/2022}{2}$ to $\frac{3/2/2022}{2}$ continuance outweigh the best interest of the publication.	rd on 3/1/2022, the court excludes time under the Speedy and finds that the ends of justice served by the blic and the defendant in a speedy trial. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § d bases this continuance on the following factor(s):
Failure to grant a continuance wo See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).	ould be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice.
defendants, the nature of the or law, that it is unreasonable to each	plex, due to [check applicable reasons] the number of he prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial lished by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
	ould deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, of due diligence. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
•	ould unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, giver commitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
	ould unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time on, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
disposition of criminal cases, the paragraph and — based on the pa the time limits for a preliminary hextending the 30-day time period	t, and taking into account the public interest in the prompt court sets the preliminary hearing to the date set forth in the first arties' showing of good cause — finds good cause for extending hearing under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and for I for an indictment under the Speedy Trial Act (based on the Fed. R. Crim. P. 5.1; 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).
IT IS SO ORDERED.	Down
DATED:_ March 3, 2022	
	Donna M. Ryu United States Magistrate Judge
CTIDI II ATED. (c) Shaffy Magal	/s/ Christoffer Lee
STIPULATED: /s/ Shaffy Moeel Attorney for Defendant	